REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112 ¶ 2. The Examiner

indicated in the Office Action that claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome this

rejection. As such, claims 1-2 have been amended. In particular, claims 1-2 have been amended

to more clearly define Applicant's invention. Further, claim 20 has been added to this

application. No new matter is believed to be introduced by the amendments and new claim. As

such, claims 1-15 and 20 are pending and should be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration

and allowance of pending claims is now requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and

allowance of the pending claims. In the event there remains any impediment to allowance of the

application, which could be clarified in a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully

requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 23 day of December 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael M. Ballard

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 54,978

Customer No. 022913

Telephone No. (801) 533-9800

GMT:gpm

W:\15584\1\GPM000000667V001.doc

- Page 7 -

Serial No. 10/019,624